
AIMMS Modeling Guide - Farm Planning Problem

This file contains only one chapter of the book. For a free download of the complete book in pdf format, please visit www.aimms.com or order your hard-copy at www.lulu.com/aimms.

Copyright © 1993–2011 by Paragon Decision Technology B.V. All rights reserved.

Paragon Decision Technology B.V.	Paragon Decision Technology Inc.	Paragon Decision Technology Pte.
Schipholweg 1	500 108th Avenue NE	Ltd.
2034 LS Haarlem	Ste. # 1085	80 Raffles Place
The Netherlands	Bellevue, WA 98004	UOB Plaza 1, Level 36-01
Tel.: +31 23 5511512	USA	Singapore 048624
Fax: +31 23 5511517	Tel.: +1 425 458 4024	Tel.: +65 9640 4182
	Fax: +1 425 458 4025	

Email: info@aimms.com
WWW: www.aimms.com

AIMMS is a registered trademark of Paragon Decision Technology B.V. IBM ILOG CPLEX and sc CPLEX is a registered trademark of IBM Corporation. GUROBI is a registered trademark of Gurobi Optimization, Inc. KNITRO is a registered trademark of Ziena Optimization, Inc. XPRESS-MP is a registered trademark of FICO Fair Isaac Corporation. MOSEK is a registered trademark of Mosek ApS. WINDOWS and EXCEL are registered trademarks of Microsoft Corporation. $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$, $\text{L}_{\text{A}}\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$, and $\text{A}_{\text{M}}\text{S}_{\text{L}}\text{A}_{\text{T}}\text{E}_{\text{X}}$ are trademarks of the American Mathematical Society. LUCIDA is a registered trademark of Bigelow & Holmes Inc. ACROBAT is a registered trademark of Adobe Systems Inc. Other brands and their products are trademarks of their respective holders.

Information in this document is subject to change without notice and does not represent a commitment on the part of Paragon Decision Technology B.V. The software described in this document is furnished under a license agreement and may only be used and copied in accordance with the terms of the agreement. The documentation may not, in whole or in part, be copied, photocopied, reproduced, translated, or reduced to any electronic medium or machine-readable form without prior consent, in writing, from Paragon Decision Technology B.V.

Paragon Decision Technology B.V. makes no representation or warranty with respect to the adequacy of this documentation or the programs which it describes for any particular purpose or with respect to its adequacy to produce any particular result. In no event shall Paragon Decision Technology B.V., its employees, its contractors or the authors of this documentation be liable for special, direct, indirect or consequential damages, losses, costs, charges, claims, demands, or claims for lost profits, fees or expenses of any nature or kind.

In addition to the foregoing, users should recognize that all complex software systems and their documentation contain errors and omissions. The authors, Paragon Decision Technology B.V. and its employees, and its contractors shall not be responsible under any circumstances for providing information or corrections to errors and omissions discovered at any time in this book or the software it describes, whether or not they are aware of the errors or omissions. The authors, Paragon Decision Technology B.V. and its employees, and its contractors do not recommend the use of the software described in this book for applications in which errors or omissions could threaten life, injury or significant loss.

This documentation was typeset by Paragon Decision Technology B.V. using $\text{L}_{\text{A}}\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$ and the LUCIDA font family.

Chapter 11

A Farm Planning Problem

In this chapter you will find a worked example of a simplified farm planning problem in a developing country. Every year a farmer must decide what crops to grow, thereby taking into account such limiting resources as land, labor and water. His objective is to maximize farm revenue. This simplified farm planning problem can be translated into a linear optimization model. Such a model is typically found as a submodel in larger models which focus on agricultural questions concerning an entire region. Even though the model developed here is relatively small in terms of symbolic constraints, the number of identifiers is relatively large.

This chapter

The material of this chapter has been adapted from “Modeling for Agricultural Policy and Project Analysis” by G.P. Kutcher, A. Meeraus, and G.T. O’Mara [Ku88]. A text book on agricultural modeling is [Ha86].

References

Linear Program, Measurement Units, Sensitivity Analysis, What-If Analysis, Worked Example.

Keywords

11.1 Problem description

The three main inputs to agricultural production are *land*, *labor* and *water*. Their requirements vary throughout the year for different crop activities. In this chapter all requirements will be specified on a monthly basis, and are assumed to be known with certainty.

Three main inputs

Crop rotation is the practice of growing different crops, in sequence, on the same land. The specification of all relevant crop rotations and time shifts in real-world applications is a non-trivial task, and requires the aid of an agronomist. In this chapter, a monthly calendar is maintained. The periods in which crops can be grown, are given in Table 11.1. An entry in this table denotes the fraction of a month that land will be occupied by a particular crop. The total amount of land available is assumed to be 10 hectares.

Land

	wheat	beans	onions	cotton	maize	tomatoes
Jan	1	1	1			
Feb	1	1	1			
Mar	1	1	1	.5		
Apr	1	1	1	1		
May	1		.25	1	.25	
Jun				1	1	
Jul				1	1	.75
Aug				1	1	1
Sep				1	1	1
Oct				1	.5	1
Nov	.5	.25	.5	.75		.75
Dec	1	1	1			

Table 11.1: Land occupation [-]

Labor is one of the major requirements for crop activities, and is supplied by the farmer's family as well as outside workers. The use of labor is disaggregated over the year, because labor is employed for different activities (land preparation, planting, maintenance and harvesting) requiring different intensities. For each crop pattern there will be a set of labor requirements that vary each month. Table 11.2 gives the labor requirements in the same pattern as land use seen in Table 11.1. Each number in the table represents the amount of labor [hr] required that month for growing one hectare of the corresponding crop.

Labor requirements

	wheat	beans	onions	cotton	maize	tomatoes	hours
Jan	14	6	41				160
Feb	4	6	40				160
Mar	8	6	40	40			184
Apr	8	128	155	40			176
May	137		19	72	34		168
Jun				16	40		176
Jul				12	57	136	176
Aug				16	64	120	176
Sep				8	35	96	176
Oct				46	9	56	168
Nov	19	60	89	34		48	176
Dec	11	6	37				176

Table 11.2: Crop labor requirements [hr/ha] and monthly hours [hr/man]

In addition to the already available family labor, outside workers can be hired as permanent workers or temporary workers. Permanent family labor consists of 1.5 person, and costs \$ 4,144 per man for an entire year. Permanent outside

Workers

labor costs \$ 5,180 per man for a year, while temporary labor costs is \$ 4 per hour. The number of working hours differs per month and is listed in the last column of Table 11.2. Note that the fractional value of 1.5 for family labor can be viewed as an average over several similar farms or as an indication of parttime labor activity.

Water is another major requirement for agricultural production, and can be supplied from surface water distribution or from groundwater. In this chapter it is assumed that the total amount of water available to the farmer each month is restricted to 5 kcub. Furthermore, there is an overall annual limit on the use of water equal to 50 kcub. The price of water is fixed for the entire year, and amounts to \$ 10 per kcub. The crop water requirements for the farm are given in Table 11.3.

Water

	wheat	beans	onions	cotton	maize	tomatoes
Jan	0.535	0.438	0.452			
Feb	0.802	0.479	0.507			
Mar	0.556	0.505	0.640	0.197		
Apr	0.059	0.142	0.453	0.494		
May				1.047	0.303	
Jun				1.064	0.896	
Jul				1.236	1.318	0.120
Aug				0.722	0.953	0.241
Sep				0.089	0.205	0.525
Oct						0.881
Nov	0.373	0.272				0.865
Dec	0.456	0.335	0.305			

Table 11.3: Crop water requirements [kcub/ha]

Most farms in developing countries place an emphasis on the production of their own food, since it makes them self-sufficient. Rather than fixing certain crops for family consumption, a slightly more general treatment is to allow a choice from a set of a priori constructed consumption bundles. Each such bundle is composed of different crops, and is by itself sufficient to feed the family. A menu of balanced meals can be derived from it. By considering a combination of alternative bundles, a farmer can take his overall revenue into account while deciding on his own consumption.

*Family
consumption*

Consider three alternative consumption bundles (in tons per year) that the farmer can choose from. The first bundle contains 1.20 tons of beans, 0.15 tons of maize, and 0.25 tons of tomatoes. The second bundle contains 0.73 tons of beans, 1.50 tons of maize, and 0.25 tons of tomatoes. The third bundle contains 0.70 tons of beans, 1.00 ton of maize, and 0.75 tons of tomatoes. It is

*Consumption
data*

assumed that any combination of these three bundles may be selected by the farmer.

Based on previous years the expected yield for each crop can be estimated in advance. For instance, the *yield* of growing cotton on one hectare of land will be 1.5 tons of cotton. Similar figures exist for the yield of the other crops. Furthermore, the *price* of crops determines the farm revenue, and price indications are also assumed to be known. In table 11.4 the relevant yields and prices are presented.

Yields and revenues

	yield [ton/ha]	price [\$/ton]
wheat	1.50	1000
beans	1.00	2000
onions	6.00	750
cotton	1.50	3500
maize	1.75	700
tomatoes	6.00	800

Table 11.4: Crop yields and prices

11.2 Model formulation

In this section the above description is translated into an optimization model. First, an informal verbal presentation of the model is provided, followed by the extensive notation needed to describe all aspects of the model. The objective function and each constraint is developed separately. A model summary is listed at the end.

This section

By considering the basic choices of the farmer and his limited resources, it is fairly straightforward to describe his objective and constraints in a compact verbal manner.

Verbal model statement

Maximize: *total net farm revenue which is the revenue from sales minus the costs associated with labor and water.*

Subject to:

- *for all months: the land used for cropping activities must be less than or equal to the land available,*
- *for all months: the labor needed for cropping activities must be less than or equal to available family labor plus hired permanent labor plus hired temporary labor,*
- *for all months: water needed for cropping activities must be less than or equal to the monthly water limit,*

- *the annual amount of water needed must be less than or equal to the annual water limit, and*
- *for all crops: the amount produced must be equal to the amount to be consumed plus the amount to be sold.*

The following notation is based as much as possible on the use of a single letter for each identifier for reasons of compactness. Such compact notation is not recommended for practical models built with a system such as AIMMS, because short names do not contribute to the readability and maintainability of computerized models. *Notation*

Indices:

c	<i>crops</i>
t	<i>months</i>
b	<i>consumption bundles</i>

Parameters (crop related):

y_c	<i>yield of crop c [ton/ha]</i>
p_c	<i>price of crop c [\$/ton]</i>
d_{cb}	<i>amount of crop c in bundle b [ton]</i>

Parameters (land related):

L	<i>land available [ha]</i>
l_{tc}	<i>fraction of month t that crop c occupies land [-]</i>

Parameters (labor related):

v_{tc}	<i>labor required of crop c during month t [hr/ha]</i>
r^F	<i>annual wage rate family labor [\$/man]</i>
r^P	<i>annual wage rate permanent labor [\$/man]</i>
r^T	<i>hourly wage rate temporary labor [\$/hr]</i>
h_t	<i>working hours in month t [hr/man]</i>
V^F	<i>family labor available [man]</i>

Parameters (water related):

W	<i>annual amount of water available [kcub]</i>
w_t	<i>limit on use of water in month t [kcub]</i>
R_{tc}	<i>water requirement for crop c in month t [kcub/ha]</i>
p^W	<i>price of water [\$/kcub]</i>

Variables:

x_c	<i>amount of crop c planted [ha]</i>
V^P	<i>permanent labor hired [man]</i>
V_t^T	<i>temporary labor hired in t [hr]</i>
s_c	<i>sales of crop c [ton]</i>
z_b	<i>fraction of bundle b consumed [-]</i>

Land use for crops is described in Table 11.1, in which an entry denotes the fraction of a month that land will be occupied by a particular crop. It may take you a while to get used to the definition of this table, but it represents a compact manner to describe both the timing and the use of land for the production of crops. A fraction of 1 means that land is to be occupied during the entire month for the corresponding crop. A fraction of less than 1 indicates that land is used either during the last portion of a month when the crop is sown, or during the first portion of a month when the crop is harvested and the land is cleared for another crop. With the use of Table 11.1, the resulting land limitation constraint assumes a simple format.

Land limitation

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_c l_{tc} x_c &\leq L \quad \forall t \quad [\text{ha}] \\ x_c &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Labor requirements for growing a particular crop on one hectare of land can be found in Table 11.2. In addition to the available family labor, both permanent and/or temporary labor may need to be hired. Note that permanent and temporary labor is not expressed in the same unit. That is why the conversion factor h_t [hr/man] is used to express the following constraint on labor in terms of hours.

Labor requirements

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_c v_{tc} x_c &\leq h_t (V^F + V^P) + V_t^T \quad \forall t \quad [\text{hr}] \\ V^P &\geq 0, \quad V_t^T \geq 0 \quad \forall t \end{aligned}$$

There is a monthly and an annual restriction on the use of water. The mathematical form of both constraints is similar to the constraints on land and labor discussed previously.

Water requirements

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_c R_{tc} x_c &\leq w_t \quad \forall t \quad [\text{kub}] \\ \sum_{tc} R_{tc} x_c &\leq W \quad [\text{kub}] \end{aligned}$$

The amount of each crop produced during the year is meant to be sold, with the exception of those amounts that are to be used for family consumption. As indicated before, a combination of consumption bundles is to be selected to satisfy the family needs. Such a combination can be formulated as a convex combination, and is implemented by attaching a nonnegative weight to each bundle, and letting the sum of the weights be equal to one. This approach makes sure that there is enough food for the family, but allows for variation

Family consumption

in the weights to obtain maximal farm revenue from sales.

$$\begin{aligned} y_c x_c &= \sum_b d_{cb} z_b + s_c \quad \forall c \quad [\text{ton}] \\ \sum_b z_b &= 1 \\ s_c &\geq 0 \quad \forall c \\ z_b &\geq 0 \quad \forall b \end{aligned}$$

The objective is to maximize farm profit over the year, which is equal to revenue from sales minus the costs associated with labor and water. Note that in this simplified model, the cost of seedlings is ignored.

Objective function

$$\sum_c p_c s_c - r^F V^F - r^P V^P - r^T \sum_t V_t^T - p^W \sum_{tc} R_{tc} x_c$$

The mathematical description of the model can now be summarized as follows.

Mathematical model summary

Maximize:

$$\sum_c p_c s_c - r^F V^F - r^P V^P - r^T \sum_t V_t^T - p^W \sum_{tc} R_{tc} x_c$$

Subject to:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_c l_{tc} x_c &\leq L \quad \forall t \\ \sum_c v_{tc} x_c &\leq h_t (V^F + V^P) + V_t^T \quad \forall t \\ \sum_c R_{tc} x_c &\leq w_t \quad \forall t \\ \sum_{tc} R_{tc} x_c &\leq W \\ y_c x_c &= \sum_b d_{cb} z_b + s_c \quad \forall c \\ \sum_b z_b &= 1 \\ x_c &\geq 0 \quad \forall c \\ V^P &\geq 0 \\ V_t^T &\geq 0 \quad \forall t \\ s_c &\geq 0 \quad \forall c \\ z_b &\geq 0 \quad \forall b \end{aligned}$$

11.3 Model results

In this section you will find a summary of the optimal solution that you yourself should observe after having implemented the model in AIMMS. In addition, there are some comments regarding experiments that you could carry out to investigate the effect of parameter changes on the value of the optimal solution.

This section

The optimal cropping pattern and the use of crop returns are summarized in Table 11.5. As expected, the crops that are not sold, are used for family consumption. The optimal combination of bundles was found to be bundle 1 (7%) and bundle 3 (93%). Note that beans and maize are only grown to meet family consumption. The optimal yearly net revenue turns out to be \$ 49,950.

Optimal solution

	crop x_c [ha]	yield $y_c x_c$ [ton]	sales s_c [ton]	consumption $\sum_b d_{cb} z_b$ [ton]
wheat				
beans	0.74	0.74		0.74
onions	6.33	37.96	37.96	
cotton	2.94	4.40	4.40	
maize	0.54	0.94		0.94
tomatoes	5.55	33.29	32.58	0.71

Table 11.5: Optimal crop-related solution

Besides the fixed amount of permanent family labor of 1.5 man, the optimal solution also indicates a need for permanent hired labor of 0.54 man. As stated before, these fractional numbers could be interpreted as an average over several similar farms, or as indication of permanent parttime work. The optimal amount of temporary labor hired is expressed in Table 11.6.

Use of labor

	V_t^T [hr]		V_t^T [hr]
Jan		Jul	461.72
Feb		Aug	388.43
Mar		Sep	216.25
Apr	833.86	Oct	108.24
May	7.45	Nov	614.86
Jun		Dec	

Table 11.6: Optimal temporary labor hired

In AIMMS you can turn on the property `ShadowPrice` for each individual symbolic constraint. If you do so for the labor requirement constraint, you will observe that the shadow prices for January, February, June and December are all zero. This indicates an overcapacity of permanent labor during these months. In March the amount of available permanent labor is exactly enough to meet labor requirements. In all other months, temporary labor is hired to meet labor requirements.

Shadow price of labor

The water requirement constraints are only binding during the months March, July and November. The corresponding shadow prices for these constraints are nonzero and reflect the binding use of water. The annual use of water amounts to 47.32 kcub, which is less than the total annual limit.

Use of water

If the annual supply of water is not entirely known a priori, the farmer may want to look how the optimal solution changes as a result of different values. You can implement such an experiment in AIMMS by creating a parametric curve with annual water supply along the x -axis and crop assignments along the y -axis. Figure 11.1 represents such a curve with the annual water limit between 40 and 50 kcub. The observed sensitivity is not so strong, so that the farmer can make a decision even if the annual water limit varies within the above range.

Changing the water limit




Figure 11.1: Optimal crops as function of annual water limit

A similar experiment can be made when the farmer has the opportunity to use additional land. The parametric curve in Figure 11.2 shows the sensitivity of optimal cropping patterns with respect to land. As more land becomes available, the amount of cotton increases while the amount of onions and maize decreases. You may verify for yourself that total net revenue increases by more than 20 % as the amount of available land doubles.

Changing the land availability




Figure 11.2: Optimal crops as function of available land

11.4 Summary

In this chapter a simplified farming problem was introduced together with a small data set for computational purposes. The corresponding model turned out to be a straightforward linear program with constraints on land, labor, water and family consumption. What-if experiments were performed to determine the sensitivity of selected parameters on the optimal solution.

Exercises

- 11.1 Implement the mathematical program described at the end of Section 11.2 using the example data provided in Section 11.1. Verify that the optimal solution produced with AIMMS is the same as the solution provided in Tables 11.5 and 11.6.
- 11.2 Add all quantity and unit information to your model in AIMMS, and check whether the units are consistent throughout the model.
- 11.3 Use the parametric curve object in AIMMS to reproduce the sensitivity experiments described in Section 11.3.

Bibliography

- [Ha86] P.B.R. Hazell and R.D. Norton, *Mathematical programming for economic analysis in agriculture*, Macmillan, New York, 1986.
- [Ku88] G. Kutcher, A. Meeraus, and G.T. O'Mara, *Modeling for agricultural policy and project analysis*, Tech. report, The World Bank, Washington D.C., 1988.