

---

## **AIMMS Tutorial for Professionals - Model Description**

This file contains only one chapter of the book. For a free download of the complete book in pdf format, please visit [www.aimms.com](http://www.aimms.com)

Copyright © 1993–2011 by Paragon Decision Technology B.V. All rights reserved.

Paragon Decision Technology B.V.	Paragon Decision Technology Inc.	Paragon Decision Technology Pte.
Schipholweg 1	500 108th Avenue NE	Ltd.
2034 LS Haarlem	Ste. # 1085	80 Raffles Place
The Netherlands	Bellevue, WA 98004	UOB Plaza 1, Level 36-01
Tel.: +31 23 5511512	USA	Singapore 048624
Fax: +31 23 5511517	Tel.: +1 425 458 4024	Tel.: +65 9640 4182
	Fax: +1 425 458 4025	

Email: [info@aimms.com](mailto:info@aimms.com)  
WWW: [www.aimms.com](http://www.aimms.com)

AIMMS is a registered trademark of Paragon Decision Technology B.V. IBM ILOG CPLEX and sc CPLEX is a registered trademark of IBM Corporation. GUROBI is a registered trademark of Gurobi Optimization, Inc. KNITRO is a registered trademark of Ziena Optimization, Inc. XPRESS-MP is a registered trademark of FICO Fair Isaac Corporation. MOSEK is a registered trademark of Mosek ApS. WINDOWS and EXCEL are registered trademarks of Microsoft Corporation.  $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$ ,  $\text{L}_{\text{A}}\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$ , and  $\text{A}_{\text{M}}\text{S}_{\text{L}}\text{A}_{\text{T}}\text{E}_{\text{X}}$  are trademarks of the American Mathematical Society. LUCIDA is a registered trademark of Bigelow & Holmes Inc. ACROBAT is a registered trademark of Adobe Systems Inc. Other brands and their products are trademarks of their respective holders.

Information in this document is subject to change without notice and does not represent a commitment on the part of Paragon Decision Technology B.V. The software described in this document is furnished under a license agreement and may only be used and copied in accordance with the terms of the agreement. The documentation may not, in whole or in part, be copied, photocopied, reproduced, translated, or reduced to any electronic medium or machine-readable form without prior consent, in writing, from Paragon Decision Technology B.V.

**Paragon Decision Technology B.V. makes no representation or warranty with respect to the adequacy of this documentation or the programs which it describes for any particular purpose or with respect to its adequacy to produce any particular result. In no event shall Paragon Decision Technology B.V., its employees, its contractors or the authors of this documentation be liable for special, direct, indirect or consequential damages, losses, costs, charges, claims, demands, or claims for lost profits, fees or expenses of any nature or kind.**

**In addition to the foregoing, users should recognize that all complex software systems and their documentation contain errors and omissions. The authors, Paragon Decision Technology B.V. and its employees, and its contractors shall not be responsible under any circumstances for providing information or corrections to errors and omissions discovered at any time in this book or the software it describes, whether or not they are aware of the errors or omissions. The authors, Paragon Decision Technology B.V. and its employees, and its contractors do not recommend the use of the software described in this book for applications in which errors or omissions could threaten life, injury or significant loss.**

This documentation was typeset by Paragon Decision Technology B.V. using  $\text{L}_{\text{A}}\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$  and the LUCIDA font family.

# Chapter 3

## Model Description

In this chapter you will find a description of the mathematical program corresponding to the problem description of the previous chapter. *This chapter*

---

### 3.1 Product flow

The following indices capture the dimensions of the problem, and are used throughout this chapter. *Indices*

**Indices:**

$l$	<i>locations</i>
$f$	<i>factories <math>\subset</math> locations</i>
$c$	<i>distribution centers <math>\subset</math> locations</i>
$p$	<i>production lines</i>
$t$	<i>time periods</i>
$s$	<i>demand scenarios</i>

The following product flow decision variables determine the levels of production, distribution and storage. *Decision variables*

**Variables:**

$q_{ft}$	<i>total factory production [hl (hectoliter)]</i>
$u_{fpt}$	<i>binary to indicate that production line is in use</i>
$x_{fcts}$	<i>transport [TL (truckload)]</i>
$y_{lts}$	<i>stock [hl]</i>

Note that the production variables are identical for all demand scenarios, while the distribution and storage variables can vary for each scenario. Note also that both hectoliters and truckloads are used to measure the quantities of soft drinks. In this tutorial a truckload is defined as 12 cubic meters.

The following product flow related parameters are used in this chapter. *Parameters ...*

**Parameters:**

$D_{cts}$	<i>demand [hl]</i>
$L_t$	<i>actual period length [day]</i>

$Q_{fp}$	<i>production at full operation [hl/day]</i>
$M_{fpt}$	<i>binary to indicate that production line is in maintenance</i>
$V_{ft}$	<i>binary to indicate a vacation period</i>
$F$	<i>drop in workforce during vacation periods (fraction)</i>
$A_{fpt}$	<i>potential production [hl]</i>
$X_f$	<i>number of available truckloads [TL]</i>
$\bar{Y}_l$	<i>maximum stock level [hl]</i>
$\underline{Y}_l$	<i>minimum stock level [hl]</i>

The parameters related to production line capacity, demand and vacations will be read from external data sources. The maintenance parameter will be determined as part of the rolling horizon solution process. *... and their data source*

The potential production of a production line,  $A_{fpt}$ , is dependent on the maintenance and vacation parameters, and is defined as follows. *Potential production determination*

$$A_{fpt} = L_t(1 - M_{fpt})(1 - F \cdot V_{ft})Q_{fp}, \quad \forall(f, p, t)$$

Note that nonzero values of parameters  $M_{fpt}$ ,  $F$  and  $V_{ft}$  result in the potential production,  $A_{fpt}$ , being less than the production level at full operation  $Q_{fp}$ .

The following stock balance constraint relates stock to previous stock, production, distribution and demand. *Balance constraint*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_{lts} &= \mathcal{Y}_{l,t-1,s} + q_{lt} + \sum_f x_{flts} - \sum_c x_{lcts} - D_{lts}, \quad \forall(l, t, s) \\ \mathcal{Y}_{lts} &\in [\underline{Y}_l, \bar{Y}_l], \quad \forall(l, t, s) \end{aligned}$$

Note that this balance constraint is used for all locations (thus both factories and distribution centers), and that particular terms inside this constraint must on some occasions be interpreted as non-existent. For instance, the production term is non-existent for distribution centers, while the demand term is non-existent for factories. In AIMMS you can specify a global index domain for each identifier, and the system will automatically restrict all identifier references to such an index domain. *Domain restrictions*

Using the potential production parameter  $A_{fpt}$  as defined previously, it is now straightforward to determine the total weekly production at each of the factories. *Factory production*

$$q_{ft} = \sum_p A_{fpt} u_{fpt}, \quad \forall(f, t)$$

It is also straightforward to model the restriction that the number of truckloads to be moved from a factory during a particular week is limited by the number of trucks available at that factory.

*Transport limitation*

$$\sum_c x_{fcts} \leq X_f, \quad \forall (f, t, s)$$

Note that the above planning constraint is, in practice, a simplification of the detailed transport capacity scheduling limitations. In scheduling applications the routing of vehicles, the distances to be traveled, plus the time-windows for the drivers would all be key factors in the determination of a final schedule. These factors are considered to be less important for the current one-year plan.

---

### 3.2 Mode switches

The following variable is needed to register the mode switches,

*Additional notation*

**Variable:**

$v_{fpt}$       *binary to register a mode switch*

The registration of mode switches seems tricky at first, but becomes straightforward with some additional explanation. Consider the following two inequalities.

*Mode switch registration*

$$\begin{aligned} v_{fpt} &\geq u_{fpt} - u_{fp,t-1}, & \forall (f, p, t) \\ v_{fpt} &\geq u_{fp,t-1} - u_{fpt}, & \forall (f, p, t) \end{aligned}$$

Whenever a production line switches from being used to not being used, or vice versa, the switch-registration variable  $v$  will be greater than or equal to unity. The penalty term in the objective discussed in the next section will ensure that this variable remains as small as possible. Thus, without a switch in the use of a production line, the variable  $v$  will be zero.

Consider a production line in use. Whenever such a line needs to be maintained, its production drops to zero. Immediately following the maintenance week, its production is likely to restart. In this case, the change in production is not considered to be a mode switch. The definition of the potential production parameter,  $A_{fpt}$ , in the previous section is consistent with this observation. The maintenance parameter,  $M_{fpt}$ , is set to one when maintenance is planned, which forces the potential production parameter,  $A_{fpt}$ , to be zero for that week. The penalty term in the objective function, however, will cause the  $u$  variable to remain at level one, thus avoiding the unwanted mode switch. A similar argument applies to maintenance while a line is not in use.

*Effect on maintenance*

---

### 3.3 Objective

The following parameters and variables are needed to specify the objective function of the mathematical program. *Additional notation*

**Parameters:**

$C_f^q$	unit production cost [\$/hl]
$C_l^y$	unit stock cost [\$/hl]
$C_{fc}^x$	unit transport cost [\$/TL]
$C^v$	penalty cost due to mode switch [\$]
$P_s$	demand scenario probability

**Variables:**

$r_s$	demand scenario cost [\$]
$z$	total cost [\$]

The cost per single demand scenario is the sum of the production costs, the scenario-specific storage and distribution costs, plus a penalty term to reflect the costs associated with mode switching. *Cost per scenario*

$$r_s = \sum_{ft} C_f^q a_{ft} + \sum_{lt} C_l^y y_{lts} + \sum_{fct} C_{fc}^x x_{fcts} + \sum_{fpt} C^v v_{fpt}, \quad \forall s$$

The total cost to be minimized is simply the weighted sum of the scenario costs. *Minimize total cost*

**Minimize:**

$$z = \sum_s P_s r_s$$

---

### 3.4 Model summary

The full mathematical description of the optimization model can now be summarized as follows.

**Minimize:**

$$z = \sum_s P_s r_s$$

**Subject to:**

$$y_{lts} = y_{l,t-1,s} + q_{lt} + \sum_f x_{flts} - \sum_c x_{lcts} - D_{lts} \quad \forall (l, t, s)$$

$$q_{ft} = \sum_p A_{fpt} u_{fpt} \quad \forall (f, t)$$

$$\sum_c x_{fcts} \leq X_f \quad \forall (f, t, s)$$

$$v_{fpt} \geq u_{fpt} - u_{fp,t-1} \quad \forall (f, p, t)$$

$$v_{fpt} \geq u_{fp,t-1} - u_{fpt} \quad \forall (f, p, t)$$

$$r_s = \sum_{ft} C_f^q q_{ft} + \sum_{lt} C_l^y y_{lts} +$$

$$\sum_{fct} C_{fc}^x x_{fcts} + \sum_{fpt} C^v v_{fpt} \quad \forall s$$

$$u_{fpt} \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall (f, p, t)$$

$$x_{fcts} \geq 0 \quad \forall (f, c, t, s)$$

$$y_{lts} \in [\underline{Y}_l, \bar{Y}_l] \quad \forall (l, t, s)$$

$$v_{fpt} \geq 0 \quad \forall (f, p, t)$$